IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

MUMBAI

MISCELLANEOUS APPLICATION NO.266 OF 2016

IN

ORIGINAL APPLICATIONS NO.934 & 935 OF 2015

The Inspector General of Registration &	)
Controller of Stamps, Old Council Hall, Pune	)..Applicant (Ori.Res.No.1)
Versus	
Smt. Ashwini Ashok Kshirsagar,	)
R/o Surbhi Prestige, Kasaba Peth, Pune-11	)..Respondent (Ori.Applicant)

Smt. K.S. Gaikwad -- Presenting Officer for the Applicants-original Respondents

Smt. Ashwini A. Kshirsagar -- Respondent-original Applicant in person.

CORAM : Shri Rajiv Agarwal, Vice-Chairman
Shri R.B. Malik, Member (J)

DATE : 29th July, 2016

PER : Shri R.B. Malik, Member (J)

JUDGMENT

1. This is an application seeking extension of time to comply with our directions in finally disposing off the OAs. No.934/15 and 935/15 by our order dated 25.2.2016.

2. We have perused the record and proceedings and heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Applicant-original Respondent No.1 and Smt. Ashwini Ashok Kshirsagar, Respondent-original Applicant in person.

3. In finally deciding the OAs we had inter alia recorded the fact that the respondent-original applicant herself had made an alternate prayer that both the DEs be completed within a stipulated time. We were told by the applicant-original respondents themselves that the report of the Enquiry Officer would be received in four months and thereafter it would be possible for the respondents to complete the DEs in, "all respects" within a further period of two months. We, therefore, gave direction to the applicants-original respondents to complete both the DEs in all respect before 30.6.2016 and made it further clear that no further time will be granted to complete the DEs after that. We also directed the applicant-original respondents to cooperate in completion of the DEs and if any delay was found which could be attributable to her then time will be extended by that period.

4. Now, the applicant-original respondents have set down some kind of a chart in para 3 of the supporting affidavit indicating

the progress of the DEs. It is not necessary for us to set it down in great details herein. The same forms part of the record. We are satisfied that the kind of contumacious conduct that could have disentitled the respondent-original applicant from the outer time limit fixed by us is not there. And, therefore, much as Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, Ld. PO would try to seek shelter behind that aspect of the matter as well as the fact that according to her the enquiry has proceeded in right earnest we remain thoroughly unimpressed thereby. The matter may have been decided early this year but the events are much older and we took all aspects of the matter into consideration while laying down the outer limit.

5. We do not consider it to be a fit case to extend the time limit any further and the only relief that the applicants-original respondents are entitled to is that they would be saved from the liability of paying costs. The MA is accordingly dismissed with no order as to costs.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
29.7.2016

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman
29.7.2016

Dictation taken by: S.G. Jawalkar.

E:\New folder\JAWALKAR\Judgements\2016\7 July 2016\MA.266.16 in OAs.934 & 935.15.J.7.2016-AAKshirsagar.doc